MUSLIM QUR'ĀNIC INTERPRETATION TODAY

MEDIA, GENEALOGIES AND INTERPRETIVE COMMUNITIES

Johanna Pink



SHEFFIELD UK BRISTOL CT

Published by Equinox Publishing Ltd.

UK: Office 415, The Workstation, 15 Paternoster Row, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S1 2BX USA: ISD, 70 Enterprise Drive, Bristol, CT 06010

www.equinoxpub.com

First published 2019

© Johanna Pink 2019

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Pink, Johanna, author.

Title: Muslim Qur'ānic interpretation today : media, genealogies and interpretive communities / Johanna Pink.

Description: Bristol, CT : Equinox Publishing Ltd, 2019. | Series: Themes in Qur'ānic studies | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Description based on print version record and CIP data provided by publisher; resource not viewed.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018000424 (print) | LCCN 2018000694 (ebook) | ISBN 9781781797051 (ePDF) | ISBN 9781781791431 (hb)

Subjects: LCSH: Qur'an--Criticism, interpretation, etc.--History. | Qur'an--Commentaries--History and criticism.

Classification: LCC BP130.45 (ebook) | LCC BP130.45 .P555 2018 (print) | DDC 297.1/226--dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018000424

ISBN: 978 1 78179 143 1 (hardback) 978 1 78179 705 1 (ePDF)

Typeset by Advent Publishing Services, London

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Lightning Source Inc. (La Vergne, TN), Lightning Source UK Ltd. (Milton Keynes), Lightning Source AU Pty. (Scoresby, Victoria).

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	ix
PROLOGUE: THE CONTESTED QUR'ĀN	1
INTRODUCTION Qur'ānic interpretation today: Tensions and power fields	5
This book's approach	
1	
THE NEW CENTRALITY OF THE QUR'ĀNIC MESSAGE	14
The place of the Qur'an in premodern Muslim societies	
The shift to the centre	17
Pedagogy and guidance (hidāya):	
an Indonesian comic book on Q. 49:12	
Ambiguity, disambiguation and guidance	
Translation and <i>da</i> 'wa: a protestantisation of the Qur'ān?	
Televangelism and <i>da wa</i> : 'Amr Khālid (b. 1967, Egypt) on Q. 23:1–11.	29
2	
RECONSTITUTING THE EXEGETICAL TRADITION	35
A genealogical tradition	
The 'ulamā' as bearers of the tradition	38
An ' <i>ālim</i> continuing the tradition of <i>tafsīr</i> :	
Muhammad Quraish Shihab (b. 1944, Indonesia) on Q. 95:1-3	
A Salafi paradigm	48
Reshaping the tradition: Shaykh al-Mubarakpuri's Tafsir Ibn Kathir	
(Abridged) (India/Saudi Arabia) on Q. 38:21–25	
Present-day Salafi exegesis	61
Takfīr: Seyfuddin El-Muvahhid's	
Davetçinin Tefsiri (Turkey/?) on Q. 4:116	
Exegetical traditions as a resource	71
Condensing the tradition: 'Ā'id b. 'Abdallāh al-Qarnī	
(b. 1959, Saudi Arabia) on Q. 9:112	72
3	
	0.1

MEDIA	81
Media transformations: from manuscripts to print and beyond	81
Layers of media: Abdolali Bazargan (b. 1943; Iran/US) on Q. 103:3	. 85

Visual dimensions
Tafsīr in pictures: H. Abdul Mustaqim (b. 1972, Indonesia) on Q. 104 95
Preaching and media: audio-visual representations and the internet 100
From television to YouTube: Audio-visual interpretations of the Fātiha 103
YouTube exegetes on Q. 1:6-7111
Ḥasan b. Farḥān al-Mālikī (b. 1970, Saudi Arabia) on Q. 1:6–7113

MODERNISM AND ITS PARADIGMS	125
Modernism and other labels	125
Maqāşid, or the Qur'ān's higher aims	128
Historical contextualisation and its sources	
Tafsīr in the order of revelation	
Reading the Qur'an in its chronological arrangement:	
Muhammad 'Ābid al-Jābirī (1935–2010, Morocco) on Q. 109	
Abrogation (naskh) and its opponents	
Chronology, context, semantics:	
Talip Özdeş (b. 1954, Turkey) on Q. 16:101	
Semantics and the 'literal meaning'	150
Literary exegesis	151
Thematic <i>tafsīr</i> (<i>tafsīr mawdū</i> 'ī)	153
Tafsīr al-Qur'ān bi'l-Qur'ān	154
The contested Sunna: from hadith-based exegesis to Qur'anism	155
A Qur'ānist approach: Aḥmad Ṣubḥī Manṣūr	
(b. 1949, Egypt/USA) on Q. 2:221	158

IN DEFENCE OF A PERFECT SCRIPTURE: THE QUR AN AS A	
HOLISTIC SYSTEM	172
Defending polygamy: Karīmān Hamza (b. 1942, Egypt) on Q. 4:3	172
Islamist Qur'anic interpretation	181
The 'system' (<i>nazm</i>) and structure of the Qur'ān	185
The sacralisation of the Qur'an's canonical arrangement:	
'Amr Khālid on the structure of the Qur'ān and the unity of sūras	187
'Amr Khālid on Sūra al-Nisā' (Q. 4)	189
Science and the <i>i jāz</i> paradigm	191
The scientific <i>i jāz</i> : Miracles of Quran on Q. 27:18	197

THE GLOBAL QUR'ĀN IN A DIVERSE WORLD	202
Negotiating the boundaries of Islamicness through the Qur'an:	
Ali Adil Atalay 'Vaktidolu' (b. 1936, Turkey) on Q. 2:21	202
Centre, periphery and hierarchies of language	207

Nation states	210
State building: the Indonesian Ministry of Religion on Q. 12:54-5	214
Sunni and Shiʻi Islam	218
Sufism	223
A female Sufi shaykh: Cemâlnur Sargut (b. 1952, Turkey) on Q. 112	225
New Islamic communities	229
The Ahmadiyya and the death of Jesus: Disputes over Q. 3:55	231

CLASHES AND FAULT LINES	244
Gender, queerness and the Qur'ān	244
Debates on same-sex marriage: Mun'im Sirry	
(b. 1973, Indonesia) on the story of Lot	247
Causes for conflict	257
Doubt versus certainty: Ahmad Khayrī al- Umarī (b. 1970, Iraq)	
on Q. 21:51–56 and his critics	259
Postmodern uncertainties and subjective approaches	
Subjectivity and Qur'anic interpretation in a Muslim	
intellectual's blog: Hakan Turan (b. 1979, Germany) on Q. 5:51	269
EPILOGUE: THE QUR'ĀN, TEXTUAL INTERPRETATION AND	
AUTHORITY, OR: MAY HUSBANDS BEAT THEIR WIVES?	284
Bibliography	296
Index	316
Index of Qur'ānic Citations	323

PROLOGUE: THE CONTESTED QUR'AN

In 2005, Muhammad Sayf al-Dīn Ṭāhā, an Egyptian accountant working in a Gulf state, submitted a six-volume Qur'ānic commentary, or *tafsīr*, to the Islamic Research Council of al-Azhar, the highest Sunni institution of Egypt, in order to obtain approval for publication. He had taken approximately twenty years to write it. The result consisted of 28,000 lines of verse because it was a *tafsīr* in poetry – a format for which, Sayf al-Dīn believed, the time was ripe. The following is one of the only two short samples that became known to the public because an Egyptian magazine reported on the case of this *tafsīr* in 2009.¹ It is the commentary on Q. 9:93:

إِنَّمَا السَّبِيلُ عَلَى الَّذِينَ يَسْتَأْذِنُونَكَ وَهُمْ أَغْنِيَاءُ رَضُوا بِأَن يَكُونُوا مَعَ الْخَوَالِفِ وَطَبَعَ اللَّهُ عَلَى قُلُوبِهِمْ فَهُمْ لا يَعْلَمُونَ (٩٣﴾

(93) The ones open to blame are those who asked you for exemption despite their wealth, and who preferred to be with those who stay behind. God has sealed their hearts; they do not understand.

On this verse, Sayf al-Dīn Tāhā wrote:

علمي من كانموا قوماً موسمرينا	ولكمن الحسماب وكل وقمت
فياويـل لهـم مـن خائرينــا	أتـوا يسـتأذنونك فـى قعـود
لهم خسئوا وصاروا مدمرينا	أر ادوا مع النساء يطول مكث
فمما كانسوا لخيسر قابلينسا	علىي قلب لهم إنيا طبعنيا
مـن التنزيـل يومــاً مبصرينــا	ومسا كانسوا لأضسواء ونسور

God's judgment comes down on those with might who, despite all their riches, refuse to fight. Coming to you, for exemption they plead. Woe unto them, they are weaklings indeed! A long life they wanted and with the women to stay, but their lives were destroyed; they were chased away. Their hearts we have tightly sealed, the good tidings remain from them concealed. And revelation with its light is forever hidden from their sight.²

eeunoxonline

It took the Islamic Research Council four years to come to a decision which, after some initial dissent, was negative and upheld by a court. After that, the author seems to have made no further attempt to publish this work or any other. The reasons the Council members gave for rejecting the book varied, but none of the 'ulamā', or religious scholars, involved ever claimed that the content of the *tafsīr* was theologically problematic, let alone heretical. Rather, they criticised the bad style of poetry which uses a traditional Arabic metre.³ The concluding report used that fact to accuse the author of transforming the Qur'an's meaning into a superficial and formalistic pattern of metre and rhyme without any artistic value. As such, it deforms and defaces the Qur'an which amounts to an insult of God. Besides, the reports said, the book does not constitute a work of *tafsīr* and should therefore not be called that because it does not contribute anything to the understanding of the Our an's meaning.⁴ This assessment is slightly surprising in view of the fact that even a translation adds to understanding the Qur'an's meaning and is therefore called *tafsīr* in many non-Arabic contexts, for example in Southeast Asia.

In 2016, the well-established Egyptian journalist Muhammad al-Bāz took up the case as the twenty-first episode of his Ramadan series The Qur'ān in Egypt. The series was published in the print and online newspaper al-Bawāba, for which he served as editor-in-chief, and shortly thereafter all thirty episodes were printed as a book under the same title.⁵ All in all, the series strove to write a comprehensive story of the modern and contemporary interpretation of the Qur'ān in Egypt. Some of its components are surprising and unusual, such as the chapters on the interpretation of the Our'an through music and film. What is striking about this book, though, is not only the unconventional, but extremely plausible attempt to situate the Qur'an in the specific context of a modern nation state, but also the eminently political character of the narrative. Al-Baz is clearly not in love with the Muslim Brotherhood, but writing at a time at which the Muslim Brotherhood was completely banned in Egypt, he is much more concerned with al-Azhar. Already in the title of the third episode, he asks: 'Why are the Azhar shaykhs afraid of a contemporary interpretation of the Qur'ān?'

Indeed, Sayf al-Dīn Ṭāhā's case raises many questions that point to the importance of notions of authority and legitimacy in writing about the Qur'ān. Would the work have been deemed acceptable if it had been written in masterful poetry? Would it have been approved if the author had belonged to the 'ulamā' or would they have excluded him from their ranks? Would he have fared better if he had not called his work $tafs\bar{i}r$? What, then, are the boundaries of $tafs\bar{i}r$? What conditions do a work and its author have to fulfil in order to be allowed to carry that title? And, finally, how would

eeunoxonline

any of this have been different if it had happened in another country with different structures of religious authority?

In the case of the failed poet-exegete, al-Bāz surmises that there are several underlying reasons for the opposition of the shaykhs, other than a disapproval of bad poetry: a general fear of innovation; an attempt to prevent anyone from outside the field of the *'ulamā'* to encroach upon their territory, especially in unconventional ways that might raise attention; and also the fear that the Qur'ān and poetry could in any way be associated with each other while the Qur'ān clearly states that it is not the word of a poet (Q. 36:69, 69:41). That fear is indeed a powerful motive. It had similar consequences for an Indonesian Qur'ān translator whose verse-by-verse typesetting choices were deemed too close to poetry by the religious establishment.⁶ On the other hand, rhymed Qur'ān translations are published and sold in Turkey.⁷ This has much to do with the fact that their authors come from religious groups that are outside the field of Sunni orthodoxy anyway. They also skilfully deploy the powerful Turkish-nationalist discourse as a legitimising factor.

The case of Sayf al-Dīn, the thwarted poet, and al-Bāz's portrayal of the Qur'ān in Egypt clearly show the importance of local power structures to the interpretation of the Qur'ān and to the dissemination of such interpretations. Sometimes, even transnational power structures are invoked when the legitimacy of an interpretive approach is contested. Thus, in 2012, two Saudi-Salafi websites erroneously reported that the rhymed *tafsīr* was finally going to be printed – with money from Saudi Arabia's Shi'i arch-enemy, Iran.⁸

The '*ulamā*' are still a powerful status group. But they can only exert that power if the state or the society they live in grant them the right to do so; if their pronouncements carry some weight and are considered authoritative expressions of Islam either by the government or by substantial segments of the population. Even when that is the case, though – and it most certainly is in Egypt – it is becoming harder for any type of religious establishment to control the plurality of approaches to the Qur'an. The field is globalising, and if one country does not offer the liberty to write certain things about the Qur'an, others will do so. It is increasingly difficult for governments to bar access to such ideas, not least because of the internet. Thus, pluralisation is happening, often because of external pressures. Certain topics, such as global human rights discourses, might be dominant enough to exert pressure to seek justification for Qur'anic statements that do not seem to conform to them; and specific groups exert pressure in order to achieve such conformity. It is this complex web of power structures and tensions, local as well as global, that this book seeks to elucidate.

eeunoxonline

NOTES

- 1. Al-Sibāʿī, 'Qaṣāʾid shiʿr'.
- 2. Al-Sibā'ī, 'Qaṣā'id shi'r'; Bāz, Al-Qur'ān fī Miṣr, 190.
- 3. The excerpt follows the model of a classical Arabic *qaşīda* where each verse consists of two halves, the second of which carries the rhyme. The metre used is *wāfir*.
- 4. Al-Bāz, *Al-Qur `ān fī Miṣr*, 191–193.
- 5. Al-Bāz, Al-Qur'ān fī Mişr.
- 6. Rahman, 'The Controversy around H. B. Jassin'.
- 7. See page 202, 'Negotiating the boundaries of Islamicness through the Qur'ān: Ali Adil Atalay 'Vaktidolu' (b. 1936, Turkey) on Q. 2:21'.
- 8. Al-Barbarī, 'Īrān takhtariq al-Azhar al-sharīf'; al-ʿAnqāʿ, 'Īrān takhtariq al-Azhar al-sharīf'.

